

N00109.AR.003043
NWS YORKTOWN
5090.3a

LETTER AND THE U S NAVY RESPONSE TO THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ON THE DRAFT ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR SITE 24
AVIATION FIELD NWS YORKTOWN VA
02/08/2016
CH2M HILL



CH2M VBO
5701 Cleveland Street
Suite 200
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462
O +1 757 518 9666
F +1 757 497 6885
www.ch2m.com

February 8, 2016

Mr. Wade Smith
Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
629 Main Street, 4th Floor
Richmond, VA 23219

Subject: Response to Comments
Draft Action Memorandum, Site 24 – Aviation Field
Naval Weapons Station Yorktown
Yorktown, Virginia
Navy CLEAN 8012, Contract N62470-11-D-8012, Contract Task Order WE90

Dear Mr. Smith,

On behalf of the Navy, CH2M HILL is pleased to submit the following response to the comments from VDEQ received via email on February 8, 2016 on the *Draft Action Memorandum for Site 24 – Aviation Field*, Naval Weapons Station Yorktown, Yorktown, Virginia (CH2M HILL, November 2015):

Comment 1: Signature page – update when Final is ready for signature.

Response: The requested revision will be made in the final document.

Comment 2: Figure 1 – the figure contains no fence lines. Please update accordingly.

Response: The requested revision has been made.

Comment 3: Sections II.A, II.A.2, II.A.3 – according to the SMP, “The Site 24, the Aviation Field investigation area is approximately 34 acres, and includes approximately 14 acres of an open, grassy field surrounding the helicopter landing pad in the northern portion of WPNSTA Yorktown, just south of the York River.”

Response: The text has been revised to state, “The Site 24 investigation area is approximately 34 acres and consists of approximately 14 acres of an open, grassy field surrounding an inactive helicopter landing pad in the northern portion of WPNSTA Yorktown, just south of the York River (**Figure 1**).”

Comment 4: Section II.A.1 – somewhere in the document please include a statement stating why Area D is not included in this removal action.

Response: The following sentence has been added, “However, Area D soil and groundwater analytical results collected in Area D during previous investigations did not identify potential risks and no further action was recommended and subsequently approved by the USEPA and VDEQ for Area D.”

Mr. Wade Smith
February 8, 2016
Page 2

Comment 5: References – EE/CA states December. Please verify.

Response: The guidance document was issued in August 1993 and the fact sheet for the guidance document was issued in December 1993. However, the date does not affect the chosen alternative in the EE/CA; therefore, no changes to the final EE/CA have been made.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (757) 671-6280 if you have any questions concerning these responses.

Sincerely,

CH2M HILL

A handwritten signature in purple ink, appearing to read "Nat O P.", is positioned above the printed name.

Nathaniel Price, P.E.
Project Manager

cc: Ms. Rashmi Mathur/USEPA
Mr. Bryan Peed/NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
Mr. Bill Friedmann/CH2M HILL